

**Before the
MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
World Trade Centre, Centre No.1, 13th Floor, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai 400005
Tel. 022 22163964/65/69 Fax 022 22163976
Email: mercindia@merc.gov.in
Website: www.mercindia.org.in/www.merc.gov.in**

Case No. 220 of 2014

Dated : 12 January, 2016

**CORAM: Shri Azeez M. Khan, Member
Shri Deepak Lad, Member**

In the matter of
Addendum Petition filed by M/s Lloyds Metal & Energy Ltd. with revised prayers to the Petition filed in Case No. 56 of 2011, under Section 62 (1) (a) and 86 (1) (e) of the Electricity Act 2003 for determination of tariff for supply of electricity from Industrial Waste heat recovery Cogeneration Power plant of 30 MW capacity at Ghugus, Dist Chandrapur of Maharashtra to the Distribution Licensees in Maharashtra and fixation of purchase obligation for electricity produced from Waste heat recovery based Cogeneration plants.

M/s. Lloyds Metals & Energy Ltd. (LMEL) ... Petitioner

V/s

1) Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Limited (MSEDCL),
2) Tata Power Company – Distribution (TPC-D),
3) Reliance Infrastructure Limited (R-Infra-D),
4) B.E.S.T undertaking. ... Respondents

Appearance

For the Petitioner : Smt. Deepa Chawan, Counsel
Shri. P. K. Puri

For the Respondents : 1) Shri. Ashish Singh (Advocate) MSEDCL
2) Ms. Swati Mehendale , TPC-D
3) Shri. Ghansham Thakkar, RInfra-D
4) Shri. S. S. Adlinge, BEST

Daily Order

Heard the Advocates and Representatives of the Petitioner and the Respondents.

The Petitioner reiterated the issues in line with its submission dated 25 December, 2015 and referred to various promotional measures adopted by other SERCs for Industrial Waste heat recovery based Cogeneration projects. Petitioner also referred to the relevant provisions in the draft amendment to the Electricity Act and GoM RE Policy dated 20 July, 2015.

Petitioner further mentioned that it has also corresponded with other plants having similar technology and received reply from Vidarbha Industries Association and M/s. Gopani Iron & Power (India) Pvt. Ltd.

The Commission asked the Petitioner about the available capacity for self consumption and for surplus generation and the arrangement for the same in similar other plants. The Petitioner mentioned that all other plants of similar technology are in captive mode with a very small quantum of surplus generation. However, in case of the Petitioner's Waste heat recovery based Cogeneration plant, out of total 30 MW, 5 MW is used for self consumption and 25 MW is surplus generation, which is sold in open market.

MSEDCL mentioned that APTEL's Judgment dated 2 December, 2013 in this matter does not give mandatory directions to the Commission, but the Commission may exercise various options to promote such Industrial Waste heat recovery based Cogeneration projects in the State. MSEDCL has already submitted its say. MSEDCL may be allowed to make an additional submission, based on any subsequent submission made by the Petitioner.

The Petitioner requested correction of its prayer 1 in its amended Petition as a typographical error appeared on 'Non-fossil fuel' part and the same is to be read as 'fossil fuel', for which a corrigendum would be submitted in writing. Further, the Commission directs the Petitioner and MSEDCL to make additional relevant submissions, if any, within a week.

Pleadings are completed and the Case is reserved for Order

Sd/-
(Deepak Lad)
Member

Sd/-
(Azeez M. Khan)
Member